

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/664,248 | RACHLIN, DANIEL J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BJ Forman | 1634 | |

All Participants:

 (1) BJ Forman.

 (2) James Schumann.
Status of Application: amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13-18 25-26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Schumann to discuss amendments to overcome 112, second paragraph issues to thereby place the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Schumann was also informed that the withdrawn claims, being drawn to methods for using the allowed device, will be rejoined. .


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER